

Question 1. A Canonical OLG model and Dynamic Efficiency:

Suppose overlapping generations maximize, $U_t = \ln c_{1t} + \beta \ln c_{2t+1}$ and production takes place

via a Cobb-Douglas production function: $F(K_t, L_t) = AK_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha}$.

(a) Set up the Lagrangian for this problem (suggestion: use one constraint for each period)

$$\mathcal{L} = \ln c_{1t} + \beta \ln c_{2t+1} + \lambda_t(w - c_{1t} - k_{t+1}) + \mu_t(r_{t+1}k_{t+1} - c_{2t+1})$$

F.O.C.: 1) $1/c_{1t} - \lambda_t = 0$

2) $\beta/c_{2t+1} - \mu_t = 0$ combining eqs. 1-3 yields the Euler equation: $c_{1t}\beta r_{t+1} = c_{2t+1}$

3) $-\lambda_t + \mu_t r_{t+1} = 0$

b) Solve for the workers OLG savings rate recalling that $k_{t+1} = s_t w_t$ Combining the Euler

equation, $c_{1t}\beta r_{t+1} = c_{2t+1}$, with the 2nd period budget constraint, $r_{t+1}k_{t+1} = c_{2t+1}$ yields:

$c_{1t}\beta r_{t+1} = r_{t+1}k_{t+1}$ or, $c_{1t}\beta = k_{t+1}$. The 1st period budget constraint, $w_t = c_{1t} + k_{t+1}$ plus the

Euler equation implies, $w_t = (1/\beta)k_{t+1} + k_{t+1} = (1+1/\beta)k_{t+1}$ Replacing k_{t+1} in this expression with

$s_t w_t$ yields $s_{olg} = \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta}$ or $\frac{1}{2 + \rho}$

c) Solve for the standard golden rule savings rate, give that $c = y - I$ which in this model is $c = Ak^\alpha - k$ where $k = K/L$.

Find the golden rule capital stock that maximizes $c = Ak^\alpha - k$ by setting $\partial c/\partial k = 0$, which yields,

$$k_{gr} = (\alpha A)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \text{ but since } w_{gr} = (1-\alpha)Ak_{gr}^\alpha \text{ but from the (b) we know } k_{gr} = s_{gr} w_{gr} \text{ or,}$$

$$s_{gr} = \frac{k_{gr}}{w_{gr}} = (1-\alpha)Ak_{gr}^{(\alpha-1)} \text{ but as } k_{gr} = (\alpha A)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \Rightarrow s_{gr} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$$

d) Compare the OLG savings rate to the golden rule savings rate (with wages and savings all computed at k_{gr}). What conditions on preferences and technology make dynamic inefficiency more likely in this model?

Dynamic inefficiency occurs when $s_{olg} > s_{gr}$. This is more likely to occur when β is high and α is low. For example, if $\beta = .9$ (or $\rho = .1$) and $\alpha < .32$ the OLG savings rate is dynamically inefficient

Question I-3. Dynamic Programming CA Policy Functions: Assuming log utility,

$$u(c_t) = \ln c_t, \quad \text{Max} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta u(c_t) \quad (0.1)$$

$W_{t+1} = (1+r)(W_t - c_t)$ assuming r_t is the fixed world interest rate and wealth at date t is,

$$W_t = (1+r)B_t + \tilde{Y}_t \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{Y}_t = \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{s-t} Y_s \quad \text{is the present value of future output at date } t.$$

(a) Uses the form of the Bellman equation shown below and solve this problem using W_{t+1} as the control variable:

$$V(W_t) = \max_{c \in (0, W)} u(c) + \beta V(W_{t+1}) \quad \text{or,}$$

Be sure to show each step in the derivation. Recall the basic strategy of Adda and Cooper or Obstfeld and Rogoff, rewriting $u(c_t)$ as a function W_{t+1} . Note the Euler equation for this problem. You can check your answer however, you want, but only write out the forward looking solution using W_{t+1} as your control variable. You want to end up with a stationary policy function of the form: $W_{t+1} = \phi(W_t)$.

$$\text{Rewriting } c_t = W_t - W_{t+1}/(1+r) \quad V(W_t) = \max_{W_{t+1} \in (0, W)} u \left[W_t - \frac{W_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] + \beta V(W_{t+1})$$

$$\text{The FOC for this problem is} \quad \frac{-u'(c_t)}{1+r} + \beta V'(W_{t+1}) \quad \text{or} \quad V'(W_{t+1}) = \frac{1}{\beta c_t (1+r)}$$

Conjecture: $W_{t+1} = F \ln(W_t)$ so that $V'(W_t) = F/W_t$ Which also implies,

$$u'(c_t) = \beta(1+r)V'(W_{t+1}) \quad \text{or that} \quad \frac{1}{c_t} = \frac{\beta(1+r)F}{W_{t+1}} \Rightarrow W_{t+1} = \beta(1+r)Fc_t$$

$$\text{However, we also know } W_{t+1} = (1+r)(W_t - c_t) \Rightarrow \beta(1+r)Fc_t = (1+r)(W_t - c_t) \text{ s that, } c_t = \frac{W_t}{1 + \beta F}$$

Substituting this conjecture back into the Bellman equation,

$$V(W_t) = u(c_t) + \beta V(W_{t+1}) \quad \text{implies,} \quad E + F \ln(W_t) = \ln(c_t) + \beta[E + F \ln(\beta(1+r)Fc_t)] \quad \text{where } c_t = \frac{W_t}{1 + \beta F}$$

$$E + F \ln(W_t) = (1 + \beta F) \ln(W_t) + \beta \{ E + F \ln \left(\frac{\beta(1+r)F}{1 + \beta F} \right) \} - \ln(1 + \beta F), \quad \text{then collecting terms we have:}$$

$$F = 1/(1 - \beta), \quad \text{and } E = 1/(1 - \beta) [\beta/(1 - \beta) \ln[\beta(1+r)] + \ln(1 - \beta)]$$

Replacing F in c_t we have: $c_t = (1 - \beta)W_t$ and $W_{t+1} = \beta(1+r)W_t$

b) Use $W_{t+1} - W_t$ to derive an expression for the current account, $CA_{t+1} = B_{t+1} - B_t$.

$$W_{t+1} - W_t = [(1+r)\beta - 1] W_t$$

From $(W_t = (1+r)B_t + \tilde{Y}_t \text{ where } \tilde{Y}_t = \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} Y_s \text{ is the present value of future})$ we have that

$$W_{t+1} - W_t = (1+r)(B_{t+1} - B_t) - Y_t, \text{ Therefore:}$$

$$[(1+r)\beta - 1] W_t = (1+r)(CA_t) - Y_t \text{ and finally } CA_t = [\beta - 1/(1+r)] W_t + Y_t / (1+r)$$

c) Discuss how a fall in the interest rate r affects optimal growth of wealth, consumption and the current account. Compare this result with the results obtained in class for CRRA utility:

$$c_t = [1 - \beta^\sigma (1+r)^{\sigma-1}] W_t \quad \text{and} \quad W_{t+1} = [\beta^\sigma (1+r)^\sigma] W_t$$

where $\sigma = 1/\theta$ in Romer's notation (the IES). Intuitively, why does the fall in r have a different effect depending on the utility function?

* Log utility

Optimal growth of wealth: $\frac{W_{t+1}}{W_t} = \beta(1+r)$, If r decreases then optimal growth decreases.

Consumption: $c_t = (1-\beta)W_t$ does not depend on r .

Current Account: $CA_t = [\beta - 1/(1+r)] W_t + Y_t / (1+r)$ where $\frac{\partial(CA_t)}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{(1+r)^2} (W_t - Y_t)$ therefore if r decreases CA increases.

** CRRA utility

Optimal growth of wealth: $\frac{W_{t+1}}{W_t} = \beta^\sigma (1+r)^\sigma$, $\frac{\partial \frac{W_{t+1}}{W_t}}{\partial r} = \sigma \beta^\sigma (1+r)^{\sigma-1}$ If r decreases then optimal growth decreases (when $\sigma > 1$) and If r decreases then optimal growth increases (when $\sigma < 1$).

Consumption: $c_t = [1 - \beta^\sigma (1+r)^{\sigma-1}] W_t$, $\frac{\partial c_t}{\partial r} = [-\beta^\sigma (\sigma - 1)(1+r)^{\sigma-2}] W_t$. If r decreases consumption increases ($\sigma > 2$). If r decreases consumption decreases ($\sigma < 2$).

Current Account: $CA_t = (\beta^\sigma (1+r)^{\sigma-1} - \frac{1}{1+r}) W_t + \frac{Y_t}{1+r}$ where

$$\frac{\partial(CA_t)}{\partial r} = [\beta^\sigma (\sigma - 1)(1+r)^{\sigma-2}] W_t + \left[\frac{1}{(1+r)^2} \right] (W_t - Y_t).$$

(d) Use Bellman's principle of optimality to explain why choosing maximizing with respect to W_{t+1} automatically maximizes today's consumption c_t and all future consumption. How does this

way of thinking about optimization generate a stationary policy function (if one exists)? The answer to this question will prove for adding optimizing under uncertainty.